

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRM-M-35443 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:25.04.2022

Shardha Nand and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Jasdeep Singh Toor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of FIR No.144 dated 24.04.2019 registered under Sections 376, 511, 354, 354-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 07.06.2019 (Annexure P-2) and affidavit dated 07.06.2019 (Annexure P-3) of complainant-respondent No.2.

Although report of the Trial Court has been received pursuant to order dated 20.01.2020 passed by this Court, but State counsel, upon instructions from L/ASI Seema has opposed the petition and referred to the statement of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C, wherein,

besides supporting the allegations levelled in the FIR, it has been alleged that petitioners are pressurizing the prosecutrix to accept money and withdraw the allegations.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioners seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

April 25, 2022
savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>