

220-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16925-2020

Date of decision: 31.03.2022

Ravinder Kumar Rawal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Shashank Shekhar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
For respondents No.1, 5 and 6.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 4.

Mr. A.S. Shera, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner had filed the instant petition in the month of September, 2020 seeking a mandamus directing the respondents to read into Rule 70C of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Election Rules, 1994 as regards usage of VVPAT machine along with EVMs so as to make the provision in consonance with Rule 49A (amended vide Government of India notification dated 14th August, 2013) as also other rules and

provisions of Conduct of Election Rules, 1961 framed under the Representation of People Act, 1951.

Counsel representing the petitioner states that by virtue of efflux of time and on account of Municipal Corporation Panchkula Election having already been held, he would not be pressing the instant petition.

The petition is disposed of as not pressed.

However, the main issue raised in the light of the prayer aforenoticed, is kept open.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

March 31, 2022
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No