

**(212) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37346-2021

Date of decision : 17.02.2022

Jagdish Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr.K.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directions to respondents to conduct proper investigation in case FIR No.0030 dated 02.04.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 420 of the IPC at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur and with further direction to transfer the investigation to some other district.

The grouse of the complainant-petitioner was that though action had been taken against the main accused Gurpreet Singh, no action was taken against bank officials who as per the petitioner were in connivance with Gurpreet Singh. He further states that there is some discrepancy in the Section 161 Cr.P.C. statement recorded by the Investigating Agency.

A Short reply was filed on behalf of respondents by the learned State counsel by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Hargobindpur Police District Batala and the same was taken on record. As per the short reply, the action taken by the State against Gurpreet Singh is detailed.

I have heard both the learned counsel for the parties.

It would be appropriate if the complainant-petitioner approaches the Court of competent jurisdiction under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. if so advised for proper investigation/further investigation, in case she is aggrieved by any inaction on the part of the police.

At this stage, there is no requirement of this Court to pass any order having regard to the reply filed by the learned State counsel.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

17.02.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No