

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CRWP No.7199 of 2022  
Date of Decision: 28.07.2022**

Manisha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\* \* \* \*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)**

By way of the instant petition, both the petitioners seek the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 & 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice on behalf of these respondents.

Heard.

.....

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of petitioner No.1, i.e. Annexure P-5, only to the extent of the prayer as made therein for the protection of the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Karnal, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-5) so far as it pertains to the prayer qua the protection of the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners only and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve the said protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)  
JUDGE

28.07.2022  
neetu

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i>        | <i>No</i>  |