

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRWP-8258-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.03.2022

Gurdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. B.P.K.Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged order dated 21.01.2020 (Annexure P-1), whereby an application for grant of parole moved on behalf of the petitioner has been declined.

Learned State counsel has submitted that since the application for grant of parole had been moved in the year 2019 on the ground that he has to attend household work and a period of more than 3 years has elapsed since then, circumstances as of now would have changed and that it would be more appropriate that the petitioner moves a fresh application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is not averse to move a fresh application provided the impugned order does not come into his way and that the subsequent application, as may be filed, is not dismissed solely on the ground that a previous application has been dismissed.

In view of the aforestated position, the instant petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move a fresh application seeking grant of parole. In case, any such application is filed, the authorities concerned shall endeavour to dispose of the same expeditiously preferably within a period of 2 months from the date such application is filed. It is further made clear that while disposing of such application, the authorities concerned shall not take into account the fact that the previous application on similar grounds has been dismissed and shall dispose of the same independently.

23.03.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**