

Amritpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Vikas Hira

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Narinder Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 13.12.2021 in CWP-25216-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 13.12.2021 reveals that CWP-25216-2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the pending representation by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned AAG, Punjab has filed reply on behalf of the respondent and states that pursuant to order Annexure P-1, the respondent has taken a decision on the representation vide Annexure R-1 dated 25.03.2022 that no action was required to be taken as the matter of the same nature and content was subjudice before the Civil Court and the order of the Civil Court would be binding on revenue authorities. The

the petitioners, who on perusal thereof, states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 25.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.08.2022
ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>