

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.7213 of 2022

Date of Decision: 28.07.2022

Manoj Kumar Thakur

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Shrey Vasudev, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would resort to the appropriate, efficacious and alternative remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for seeking the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in this petition.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1, 3, 5 and 6 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection for the withdrawal of this petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

July 28, 2022

Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No