

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CWP No.16507 of 2022

Date of decision: 18.11.2022

Anju Markus

....Petitioner

V/s

Regional Passport Officer, Regional Passport
Office and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Brijesh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner's son, whose application for issue of passport was not being processed for want of signatures of the father of the minor (respondent No.3) i.e. her estranged husband.

It is submitted that the petitioner was called to the passport office and the grievance raised in the present petition has been substantially addressed and she has been assured of issuance of passport after following the remaining process. Learned counsel for the petitioner thus, submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to seek revival in case passport is not issued within a reasonable time.

Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that in view of the submissions by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection to the withdrawal of the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 18, 2022

Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No