

208 +106

CM-13086-C-2019
in/and
IOIN-RSA-35-2005
in
RSA-35-2005
PREM KUMAR VS RAJINDER KAUR (DECEASED) THROUGH
LRS & ORS.

Present:- Mr. H.R. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the
applicant/appellant

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

CM-13086-C-2019

This is an application for dispensing with the service of notice to respondent no.14 namely Poonam daughter of Krishan Lal. It has been asserted that Late Sh. Krishan Lal has sold his share and respondent no.14, being his daughter, is not required to be served.

It is evident on perusal of the memo of parties that respondent no.14 is only a proforma respondent. Hence, the application is allowed. The service of notice on respondent no.14 is dispensed with.

IOIN-RSA-35-2005

As per the office report, notices issued to the legal representatives of respondent no.1 have been received back with report of service with respect to respondent no.1(ii) whereas notice issued to respondent no.1(i) has been received back served through Shamsher Singh.

One legal representatives of respondent no.1 has already

CM-13086-C-2019
in/and
IOIN-RSA-35-2005
in
RSA-35-2005

received notice, however, he remains unrepresented. Hence, the service is complete.

IOIN stands disposed of.

Main appeal to be listed as per its turn.

22.03.2022
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE