

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.103

**CM Nos.12867 & 12868-CWP-2022 in/and
Civil Writ Petition No. 16185 of 2022
Date of Decision : August 26, 2022**

Darshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-12867-CWP-2022

Leave sought is granted.

Application stands disposed of.

CM-12868-CWP-2022

Allowed as prayed for. Amended sequence of events is taken on record.

At oral request, main case is taken on board.

CWP-16185-2022

Vide order dated 14.12.2018, respondent No.4 was appointed as the Village Chowkidar. The petitioner was also a contestant and is aggrieved by the said order. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is evidence on record that respondent No.4 resides outside the village and would not be available to the residents because he works as a '*contractor*'.

For supporting this submission, he has referred to a resolution of the Panchayat dated 16.12.2018 wherein it has been mentioned that a handpump had been installed by respondent No.4 for which he was paid sum of Rs.5500/-.

The aforementioned resolution also states that respondent No.4 is a '*qualified handpump Mistry*'. That does not imply that he works as a '*contractor*'. Thus, the argument of the petitioner is not liable to be accepted.

There is no perversity in the impugned order requiring interference. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed.

August 26, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No