

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CWP-23077-2022

Date of Decision : October 10, 2022

Jai Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that keeping in view the settled principle of law, which has already been cited in the writ petition, the petitioner was entitled for the grant of wages keeping in view the execution of work by the petitioner beyond the normal duty hours but the benefit of the said over time work by the petitioner has not been extended to him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a detailed representation, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-6 with this petition claiming the wages for the over time and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 12.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 12.03.2022 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 12.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

October 10, 2022*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No