

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36675-2021

Date of Decision: 15.07.2022

Sakil ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Sukesh K. Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition at this stage with liberty to approach again in case there is inordinate delay in conclusion of trial.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

The trial Court is directed to take necessary steps to consider framing of charges at the earliest. In case, charges are framed, then the prosecution to ensure that PWs are produced on the dates as may be fixed by the trial Court.

15.07.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**