

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-32703-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.11.2022

Yadwinder Singh @ Yadi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. J.S.Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.25 dated 20.04.2022 at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar Rural, under Sections 307/323/452/506/148/149/120-B IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 28.07.2022, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR in question came to be lodged under some misunderstanding which has now been resolved. It has further been submitted that even as per the FIR though the petitioner is stated to be armed with pistol, but admittedly neither the complainant nor anybody else was ever injured.

Notice of motion for 24.11.2022.

At this stage Mr. Inderjit Singh Chawla, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed memo of appearance and has endorsed the factum of compromise.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the

satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation. Learned State counsel has, however, pointed out that the petitioner stands involved in 4 other FIRs out of which 2 FIRs stands quashed.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 28.07.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

24.11.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**