

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11674-2017

Date of Decision: 26.04.2022

Anita Kashyap

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the petitioner's pension was required to be re-fixed by the respondents keeping in view the circulars issued by the Government of Punjab, dated 17.08.2009, 22.02.2010 and 17.08.2009, being Annexures P-6, P-7 and P-8, respectively, but the said benefit was declined to the petitioner by the respondents vide circular dated 13.06.2012, issued by the Government of Punjab (Annexure P-9).

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply, wherein though the respondents have defended their claim with regard to declining of the benefit to the petitioner, as being claimed by her in the present petition, but today learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Narinder Singh, Senior Assistant, DPI, Secretary, submits that upon reconsideration, the claim of the petitioner has been accepted and the pension of the petitioner has been re-fixed vide letter dated 16.06.2020 and

all the arrears, for which she became entitled for, have also been released to her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he does not have any kind of instructions with regard to the aforesaid submissions, as being made by learned State counsel, but he has no ground to dispute the same, hence, keeping in view the above, no further grievance of the petitioner in the present petition survives at this stage, and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

26.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No