

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRM-M-32864-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:04.08.2022

Dalwinder @ Bittu

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Tushar Wadhwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

CRM-26555-2022

Allowed, as prayed for.

Documents at Annexures P-1 & P-2 are taken on record.

Main case

Notice served upon the official respondents through the State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the representation (Annexure P-2) has been filed by his wife but he would be contented and satisfied that in case he makes a detailed representation to the concerned DIG, the same be decided in a time bound manner. He further seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, in case, such representation is filed, the concerned DIG, Ropar Range to decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***thirty working days*** from the date of receipt of the representation.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, liberty is reserved to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

The present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the above said observations. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

04.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No