

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

CRWP-8481-2021

Date of Decision :03.02.2022

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harsh Raheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Nirmal Singh.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of direction to the respondent-State to provide appropriate security to the petitioner so as to protect his life and liberty.

After the notice of motion was issued, the respondent-State has appeared and submitted that threat perception to the petitioner has been assessed by the competent authority and a gunman has been provided to the petitioner. Learned State counsel submits that not only this but, on the basis of complaint of the petitioner, an FIR has also been registered against one Vikram Brar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said development, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

February 03, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No