

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(223)

CRM-M-32363-2022

Date of decision: - 29.09.2022

Parmjeet Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Rehal, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.189 dated 11.07.2022, registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 379-B IPC has been added later on), at Police Station Tripuri Patiala, District Patiala.

On 05.09.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that in the present case all the offences are bailable and subsequently Section 379-B of the IPC has been added and the allegations regarding Section 379-B of the IPC were not there in the FIR but have been made in supplementary statement given by the complainant.

It is submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other

criminal case and without admitting his liability, the petitioner is ready to pay an amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- to the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Adjourned to 26.09.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is directed to prepare a demand draft for an amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- in the name of the complainant and hand over the same to the investigating officer in the present case within in a period of 15 days from today and the investigating officer shall further hand over the said demand draft to the complainant.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 05, 2022"

Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant have jointly submitted that the payment of Rs.2,00,000/- has been received by the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances more so, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 05.09.2022 and also the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further custodial interrogation, the

present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 05.09.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

September 29, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No