

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16051-2022

Date of decision: 26.07.2022

Saroj Bala Tyagi

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
and Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has referred to the order dated 12.08.2011 (Annexure P-3) passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-5867-2000, whereby challenge to the same notification was made by the land-owners. The said petition was disposed of by giving direction to the High Powered Committee to decide the claim of the land-owners, including predecessors-in-interest of the present petitioner, within a period of 15 days. Pursuant to the said direction, an order was passed on 03.11.2014 (Annexure P-5). Thereafter, this order was challenged in CWP-25552-2014. However, the said petition was withdrawn on 11.09.2020.

Learned State counsel further states that once, challenge to the impugned notification has already attained finality, the present petition is not maintainable at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not want to challenge the acquisition proceedings, however, he seeks to withdraw this

petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

26.07.2022
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No