

233(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16151-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

NOKIA INDIA PVT. LTD.

...Petitioner

V/S

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Deepak Thakur, Advocate,
Mr. Rishab Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Nitish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel
for respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a Mandamus to direct the respondents to grant and process the refund for the period from 01.04.2009 to 30.06.2009, which has already been allowed in favour of the petitioner-company.

Notice of motion having been issued, Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondents.

Even a short reply already stands filed and placed on record.

A stand is taken during the course of hearing today on behalf of respondents that there are certain documents which are required towards the grant of the refund and in case all the requisite documents as demanded, are furnished by the petitioner-company, the matter would be

expedited and the refund would be processed and granted in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of submission of such documents.

Statement is accepted.

We are of the view that no further directions are required to be passed at this stage.

Writ petition is disposed of.

Issue of interest (if any) on the refund(s) as sought for in the instant petition is, however, kept open.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

19.09.2022

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No