

Bhagwan Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Pratap, IAS and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. V.K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 28.06.2021 in CWP No.9993 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 28.06.2021 reveals that CWP No.9993 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the official respondents to consider and decide the representation as well as legal notice moved by the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful.

[4] Notice has yet not been issued in the case. However, Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, has referred to speaking order dated 30.09.2021, taken on record on 01.11.2021 and states that in view of order, Annexure P/1 dated 28.06.2021 in CWP No.9993 of 2021 having been complied with, the contempt petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under

the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand concedes thatspeaking order dated 30.09.2021 has been passed in compliance of aforementioned order, but contends that since benefits admissible in terms of speaking order dated 30.09.2021 have not been released to the petitioner by the Accountant General, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings against the Accountant General in accordance with law for release of benefits to the petitioner in terms of speaking order dated 30.09.2021.

[6] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No