

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(234)

CWP No. 24332 of 2019
Date of Decision : 15.11.2022

Kamal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though in the reply filed, the respondents have stated that the benefits as admissible to the petitioners have been released to him keeping in view the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 11254 of 2010 titled as ***Neelam Rani d/o Raj Roop Vs. The State of Haryana and others***, decided on 15.05.2013 but he has not been able to verify the same. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further in view of the reply filed by the respondents but with liberty that in case, any of the benefit has not been extended to the petitioners, the petitioners will be at liberty to approach the respondents raising the said grievance.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any grievance is raised by the petitioners, the same will be addressed in accordance with law by passing an appropriate order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

November 15, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No