

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.119

CWP No.16192 of 2022

Date of Decision: 28.07.2022

Renu

.... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission
and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Miglani, Advocate for
Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the service of the petitioner has been terminated vide order dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-5), which act of the respondents is not only contrary to the direction given by the Coordinate Bench of this Court while passing order in **CWP No.15672 of 2021** titled as **Amit Kumar and others versus State of Haryana and others**, decided on 25.04.2022 but is also against the rules of natural justice as the grounds taken by the petitioner in reply to the show cause notice have not been taken into consideration at all while terminating the service of the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that she has instructions to submit that impugned order dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-5) be treated as withdrawn with liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order

on the objection/reply to the show cause notice filed by the petitioner and the petitioner will be allowed to continue in service till the fresh order is passed.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that while passing the order on the reply given to the show cause notice, the grounds taken by the petitioner therein will be adverted into by giving reasons for the conclusion.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

28.07.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No