

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(297)

CRM-M-36177-2021

Date of decision: - 23.11.2022

Veena Angra and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbal S. Mann, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.236 dated 04.11.2000, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 07.09.2021, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Prayer in this petition is for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab and Mr. M. S. Virk, Advocate put in appearance and accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and respondent Nos. 2 to 4/complainant. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 admits to the factum of compromise

entered into between the parties.

List again on 15.11.2021.

*To be listed with **CRM-M-34370-2021**.*

In the meantime, the parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise/settlement within a period of 30 days from today.

The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in FIR,*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender,*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence,*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not.*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR.*

07.09.2021

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE"**

Thereafter, another opportunity was granted to the parties to appear before the Illaq Magistrate/trial Court for recording of their statements by this Court, vide order dated 21.12.2021 in support of the compromise. The matter was thereafter adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the petitioners and on 25.08.2022, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 4 has pointed out that as per order dated 07.02.2022, the petitioner was required to file an affidavit of the mother of the deceased, however, the same has not been done and prays for an adjournment.

Adjourned to 23.11.2022.

This is the last opportunity.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 25, 2022.”

Today, the case has been called twice, however, no body has appeared on behalf of the petitioners and respondent Nos.2 to 4.

From the above-said fact, it is apparent that the compromise has not fructified between the parties and accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

However, the liberty is granted to the parties to file a fresh petition in case the compromise is effectual and the parties are ready to make their statements in respect of the said compromise.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2022
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No