

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32408 of 2022 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 10th August, 2022

Surjit Singh @ Kala

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

One of the main ground for seeking regular bail is that the trial is not progressing at a decent pace.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that out of twenty-one prosecution witnesses, fourteen have been examined. Most of the prosecution witnesses are police officials and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses within four months from the date fixed.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating the date for expeditious examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

10th August, 2022

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*