

CRM-M-32513-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32513-2022
Date of decision: 09.11.2022

Amit Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. A.S.Prajapati, Advocate for
Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.136 dated 23.08.2019, registered at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala, under Sections 307, 341, 506, 120-B and 34 IPC (Section 212 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 29.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) and 16.07.2022 (Annexure P-3), arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that with the intervention of the respectable of the village, a compromise has been effected between the parties.

I have heard the learned for the petitioners.

As per the case of prosecution, Kapil and Amit had caused gun-shot injuries on the chest and back of the complainant whereas Chintu had attacked upon him (complainant) with *gandasi* and caused injuries on

CRM-M-32513-2022

his head, neck, face and left hand.

The powers conferred on this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised keeping in mind the injuries sustained, nature of weapon used etc. The injuries suffered by the complainant at the hands of the petitioners, apparently appear to be serious in nature. In such circumstances, taking a lenient view in the present case, will leave a wrong message about the criminal justice system.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

09.11.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No