

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32554-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 28.07.2022**

Parasdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.51 dated 02.03.2022, registered under Sections 399, 402 IPC, at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot; that the alleged secret informer was not made a member of the raiding party; that the statement of the secret informer was not recorded before the learned trial Court and even no opportunity to cross examine the secret informer was given to the petitioner and that in another FIR No. 121 dated 16.05.2022, registered under Sections 379, 411 IPC at same Police Station, the petitioner is on bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the paper-book.

The secret information was received against 08 persons, including the petitioner and when the raid was conducted 04 accused persons, namely, Balraj Singh with toy pistol, Gurwinder Singh, Mangal Singh and Sameer with *datars* were apprehended and the remaining 04 accused persons fled away from the spot, including the petitioner. The petitioner is involved in one more case.

Considering the nature of allegations in the FIR and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

28.07.2022
Mangal Singh

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No