

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202-1

CRM-M-35839-2021

Date of Decision:13.07.2022

JOGINDER KAUR AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Rai, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Eknoor Kaur Sara, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. H. S. Sullar, Advocate for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

On 19.01.2022, this Court passed the following order:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.0281 dated 15.07.2021 under Sections 304-B and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that there was no complaint whatsoever made with respect to the alleged demands of dowry much less subjecting the deceased to any kind of mental and physical torture prior to the date of occurrence i.e. 15.07.2021. He further submits that the deceased along with her husband i.e. son of the petitioners was not even residing with the petitioners and was living independently though in the same house. He has further submitted that it was a matter of record that there had been money transactions wherein it was the petitioners who had in fact transferred various amounts of money into the account of the father, mother and brother of the deceased, and that too prior to the date of alleged occurrence.

On being put to notice, learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Rampal, has not been able to controvert the submissions made by learned senior counsel for the petitioners about no

complaint having been made prior to the date of alleged occurrence qua mental or physical harassment to the deceased with respect to the alleged demands of dowry and also some money transactions having indeed taken place between the parties.

Heard.

The petitioners are directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Adjourned to 13.07.2022.”

Learned Senior counsel, upon instructions, submits that the husband of the deceased, Mohit, is in custody.

Upon instructions from ASI Ramkaran, State counsel submits that petitioners, who are parents-in-law of the deceased, have joined the investigation and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 19.01.2022 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

13.07.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No