

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CWP-10918-2017

Date of Decision : July 12, 2022

Alka Verma and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Birla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that they were being paid lesser salary than their juniors, which needs to be rectified.

After notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply wherein, the respondents have mentioned that out of the four petitioners, the anomaly in respect of three petitioners have already been corrected and they have been given the pay equivalent to their junior whereas, one of the petitioner i.e. petitioner No.2 Anil Kumar has not submitted any document to substantiate his claim that any of his junior has been given a higher salary than him. The relevant paragraph 6 and 7 of the reply is as under:-

“6. That out of the remaining petitioners, re-fixation of pay of petitioner No.1- Alka Verma and Petitioner No.4- Devender Kumar have been done by the office of the respondent

no.3 and sent to the offices of their concerned DDO's. The copy of re-fixed pay of Petitioner No.1 (Alka Verma) is attached herewith as Annexure R-2 and the copy of re-fixed pay of Petitioner no.4 (Devender Kumar) is attached herewith as Annexure R-3.

7. That as per the report of the concerned DDO, Petitioner No. 2 (Anil Kumar) had not submitted the relevant example of his junior along with the necessary documents to the concerned DDO till date. The report of the concerned DDO to this effect are Annexed as Annexure R-4.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though, in the reply, the respondents have stated that three of the petitioners out of four have been redressed but no actual payment have been made to them.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the order granting the benefit to the petitioners has not been implemented so far, the same will be implemented within a period of two months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to petitioner No.2 to approach the respondents to file representation in respect of the grievance he has as projected in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

July 12, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No