

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

121

**CRWP-7118-2022**

**Date of Decision: 26.07.2022**

ROBANPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR**

Present: Mr. T.S.Hundal, Advocate for  
Mr. Angraze Singh Dhindsa, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-5.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-5, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)  
JUDGE**

**26.07.2022**

**kavneet singh**

KAVNEET SINGH  
2022.07.26 18:12  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this order/judgment

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable : Yes/No**