

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRR(F)-515-2018

Date of Decision:02.04.2022

ARADHNA PAUL & ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

SUMIT PAUL

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Instant revision petition has been filed for reviewing the order dated 13.08.2018 passed by the Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, whereby petitioner No.1 has been granted maintenance of Rs.7500/- per month and petitioner No.2 has been granted maintenance of Rs.3500/-.

Counsel for the respondent submits that the matrimonial dispute between the parties has been settled, marriage has been dissolved by a judgment and decree passed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and even the FIR lodged by the wife-petitioner No.1 has been quashed on the basis of a compromise. He submits that the instant petition has become infructuous.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Similar was the situation on the previous date of hearing.

In view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival, in case, anything still survives.

02.04.2022

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No