

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP-18789-2021
Date of decision:23.09.2022

Shankar Jha

...Petitioner

Versus

The Estate Officer and another

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
Ms. Nishtha Grover, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Petitioner has filed the present petition seeking directions to respondents to consider his claim for allotment of alternate site in lieu of Jhuggi No. P-30, Pandit Colony, Kajheri, Chandigarh, U.T. under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006.

In the written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.1 it has been stated that the case of the petitioner for allotment of the flat under the said scheme was initially rejected by the Screening Committee on 11.06.2010. Thereafter, his case was also considered by the review Committee in the proceedings held on 07.11.2012, however, no relief was accorded to him.

It is stated that the petitioner has a remedy of filing an appeal before the Chief Administrator as per Clause 17 (a) of Chandigarh Small

Flats Scheme, 2006.

Accordingly, without in any way opining claim of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal in terms of Clause 17 (a) of Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006. If the petitioner files an appeal within a period of three weeks from today, the same be considered by the Appellate Authority without going into the question of limitation. The petitioner would be at liberty to file any document to substantiate his claim. The appeal be disposed of preferably within a period of two months by passing a speaking order.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

23.09.2022
rashmi

1. Whether reportable?
2. Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No
Yes / No