

Man Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

After decision by the Financial Commissioner in 2018, the record was transmitted to the Assistant Collector on 10.12.2018. Allegedly, the record has not been received by the Assistant Collector as the authorities below have failed to trace the same despite repeated representations. Finally representation dated 05.04.2022 has been made before respondent No. 2, yet, the record is not traceable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the record is not being traced under the influence of the opposite party. Thus, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 it is likely that the same will be traced.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf the respondents and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the pending representation dated 05.04.2022 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

26.07.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE