

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35850-2021
Date of Decision: 28.03.2022**

Amritpal @ Parveen

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.166, dated 23.04.2021 under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 24.04.2021, which is almost one year and the investigation of the present case has already been completed, and therefore, he may be considered for the grant of bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has submitted that an affidavit has been filed by the State in the present case and while referring to the affidavit, he has submitted that as per allegations, the petitioner along with two other persons had been giving fake and forged Appointment Letters for the post of Clerk and the petitioner had himself stated as a Secretary of a Judge of the High

Court and there is a video footage of the same as well and there is a direct attribution to the petitioner, namely, Amritpal @ Parveen in this regard and he was apprehended on the spot when he was demanding Rs.8 lacs for handing over the fake and fabricated Appointment Letters for the post of Clerk.

Learned State counsel further referred to Para No.4 of the Affidavit whereby it can be seen that the petitioner is also involved in four other cases and while referring to Para No.5 of the affidavit, he submitted that in case the petitioner is granted the benefit of bail, then he may pose threat to the witnesses as well the complainant. He also submitted that two other co-accused are yet to be arrested.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Although, the petitioner is in custody from 24.04.2021 and investigation of the present case is complete but as per the apprehension expressed by the learned State counsel, while referring to the affidavit, that the allegations against the petitioner are serious as per facts and circumstances, does carry weight. The other co-accused are yet to be arrested in the present case and the petitioner is also not having clean antecedents and is also involved in four other cases. The gravity of allegations of impersonation by the petitioner as Secretary of Judge of High Court would disentitle the petitioner for grant of regular bail.

Therefore, considering the magnitude and intensity and also the seriousness of the present case, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to grant regular bail to the petitioner, consequently, finding no merit, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

28.03.2022

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |