

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

CRWP-8328-2021

Date of Decision: May 18, 2022

Ram Nath

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate for private respondents.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has referred to reply and submits that Kalandra under Section 107/151 was registered against the private respondents. Furthermore FIR has also been registered and statements have been recorded. Further statements of respondents No.6 to 12 were also recorded in which they have submitted that there is no apprehension of threat from them.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with the liberty to file fresh in case any threat perception arises in future.

Learned counsel for respondents No.6 to 12 present in Court and submits that there is no need to be afraid from them.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of as having been withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No