

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1)

CRM-M-31775-2022

Date of decision:04.08.2022

TARSEM SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

(2)

CRM-M-31824-2021

WARAYAM SINGH @ WARYAM SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Nagra, Asst. A.G. Punjab

Mr. B.S. Bhatia, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant (in CRM-M-31775-2022).

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Both these bail petitions cast, under Section 438 Cr.P.C., are being disposed of through a common order, as they arise from a common FIR.

2. In FIR No.104 of 24.06.2022, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural, offences constituted under Sections 454, 380, 365, 342, 506, 149 of IPC, are embodied.

3. Without going into the merits of the petition FIR, this Court becomes constrained to admit the present bail petitioners to pre-arrest bail, as the informant-aggrieved appeared, in person, and, has made a signed testification that, he has no objection, in case the present bail petitions are

allowed.

4. In consequence both the petitions are allowed, and, in the event of the arrest of the bail petitioners, the investigating officer may not arrest the bail petitioners, however, subject to theirs furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, and, also subject to theirs rendering co-operation to him, in the investigations to be carried into the offences mentioned in the present FIR. Moreover, also with an undertaking, that they shall not tamper with prosecution evidence, and, nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses..
5. Copy dasti.

04.08.2022

ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No