

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.122

CWP-16092-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.07.2022

Amarjit Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

On an application filed by respondent No.4, a demolished water course has been ordered to be restored by the Divisional Canal Officer. Aggrieved, the petitioner filed an appeal but without success. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that impugned orders are illegal and not sustainable in law because the water course ordered to be restored is not covered by the definition of water course given in the Northern India Canal and Drainage Act, 1873.

There is nothing on record by which the aforementioned argument can be substantiated and thus, the same cannot be accepted.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

27.07.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No