

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10125-2017
Date of decision: 26.05.2022**

RAJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Lubana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner for selection for the post of Constable against Sports Quota under SC category in the Punjab Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that pursuant to advertisement dated 23.11.2016, the petitioner had applied for the post of Male Constable against Sports Quota under SC category. He would submit that petitioner had initially been appointed and joined service of CRPF and the said CRPF was in possession of his certificates and in case, those certificates has been taken into consideration, he would have been offered appointment in the Punjab Police.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued, appearance has been caused on behalf of the respondent-State and a reply has been filed

dated 11.08.2017 on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2. Today, learned State counsel would submit that the necessary appointment has been offered to the petitioner herein on 22.10.2020 and the petitioner has since joined service. This statement is made by learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Bhajan Lal.

In view of the statement having been made that the petitioner herein has joined service on 22.10.2020, the instant petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous. In case the petitioner herein still has any grievance surviving, he would be at liberty to move a representation in this regard, which representation would be decided by the authorities concerned in accordance with law, failing which, the petitioner would have a remedy to approach this Court.

26.05.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No