

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CR-1756 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.12.2022

Shyam Lal @ Shyam Sunder

..Petitioner

Versus

Sonia and another

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Undoubtedly, the petitioner being the executant of the sale deed is required to pay the ad valorem court fee on the amount of the sale deed, particularly when he himself has filed a suit for declaration with a consequential relief of permanent injunction that the sale deed is a result of fraud.

The trial court has ordered the plaintiff to pay the ad valorem court fee on the amount of the sale consideration.

Challenging the correctness of the aforesaid order, this revision petition has been filed.

The aforesaid issue has no longer res integra in view of the judgment passed by a Full Bench of this Court in Niranjana Kaur vs Nirbigan Kaur, AIR, 1981 P&H 368 and Suhrid Singh alias Sardool Singh vs. Randhir Singh and others, (2010) 12 SCC 112.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 05, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No