

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16956-2021
Date of Decision: 07.04.2022

Manisha Yadav

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Respondent No. 2 is present in person pursuant to order dated 08.03.2022 and submits his unconditional apology. He states that communication sent by the office of the Advocate General, Haryana shall be dealt with expeditiously in future. His personal presence is dispensed with.

Learned State counsel submits that impugned order dated 03.03.2021 can not stand scrutiny in view of the statutory provisions and the same deserves to be set aside. The Collector shall now deal with the application on merits.

Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed and impugned order dated 03.03.2021 is set aside. The matter is remitted to respondent No. 2 for a fresh decision in accordance with law.

07.04.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No