

TINKU RANI

...Petitioner

Versus

SANJAY KAUSHAL AND ANR

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 20.05.2022 in CWP No.10957 of 2022 in case titled as Tinku Rani vs. State of Haryana and another.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 20.05.2022 reveals that CWP No.10957 of 2022 was disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file representation along with requisite supporting material and in the event of any such representation being filed, the respondents were directed to look into and decide the same by passing an administrative order in accordance with law before finalization of the result.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case. However, learned AAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 25.07.2022 rejecting the representation filed by the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who

on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 25.07.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.08.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No