

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135)

CWP-16017-2022

Date of Decision : July 26, 2022

Sajjan Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is fully eligible for promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit and the petitioner also fulfils the qualification as prescribed under the Haryana School Education (Group C) State Cadre Service Rules, 2012. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that juniors of the petitioner, who have similar qualification as of the petitioner, have been granted promotion but the petitioner has been ignored for promotion, which act on the part of the respondents, has caused prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that once the petitioner is fully eligible for the grant of promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit and employee junior to the petitioner has already been granted the said benefit, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the same to the petitioner with all consequential benefits with effect from the date the said

benefit has been extended to the employee junior to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the grievance which has been raised in the present petition, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation of the petitioner dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.06.2022 (Annexure P-6) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 26, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No