

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.115

**CRM-M No.33217 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29th July, 2022.**

Veero Bai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. P.S.Bhandari, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would resort to the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance as put-forth in this petition.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection in withdrawal of this petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

29.07.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No