

CRM-M-31644-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31644-2022

Date of decision: 10.10.2022

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Eknor Kaur Sara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.186 dated 15.11.2021, registered at Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur, under Section 379-B and 34 IPC and Sections 323, 325, 201 IPC (added later on).

Status report dated 10.10.2022, by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division City, District Hoshiarpur, has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged occurrence took place on 11.11.2021 whereas the above-noted FIR was registered on 15.11.2021 i.e. after a delay of 04 days; that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner; that co-accused, namely, Nanak Chand alias Bunt and Manoj Kumar @ Baddu @ Badal have since been granted the benefit of regular bail by this Court, vide orders dated 08.07.2022

(Annexures P-4 and P-5), and that the petitioner has been in custody since

CRM-M-31644-2022

16.11.2021. So far as another case registered against the petitioner is concerned, he has since been released on bail therein. She further contends that charges have since been framed and that the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner alongwith the co-accused had actively participated in the aforesaid offences, and that recovery of Rs.250/- had already been effected from the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Charges have already been framed. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. As stated above, the co-accused have been granted the concession of regular bail. The petitioner has been in custody since 16.11.2021. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars. So far as another case registered against the petitioner, is concerned, he has been released on bail therein.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10.10.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No