

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

CRM-M-31506-2022
Date of Decision: 30.08.2022

Kulwant Rai Kataria Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajesh Narang, Advocate and
Mr. Abhinav Narang, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 22.07.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on the instructions from the concerned official. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

Given above, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.07.2022 is made absolute, subject to the following condition:

- (i) Given the nature of allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

If the petitioner fails to comply with this condition, then on this ground alone, the bail might be canceled, and the complainant may file any such application for the cancellation of bail, and State shall file the said application.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

30.08.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No