

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(135)

CRM-M-32421-2022
Date of Decision: 01.12.2022

Naresh Kumar Jain

--Petitioner

Versus

Nidhi Grover @ Nidhi Jain

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

The present petition has been filed praying for ordering the inquiry against the respondent who has concealed the material facts including the other proceedings pending in this court as well as for giving wrong facts with a view to get a favorable order in CRWP-5147-2022 (Annexure P-8).

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to press the petition for the various prayers made in the petition, however, he is aggrieved of the action of the respondent-State, which has been initiated in pursuance to the order dated 8.7.2022, passed by this court. He submits that the respondent had approached this court by way of filing CRWP-5147-2022 praying for issuance of directions to protect her life and liberty and that of her family members from the private respondents. This court disposed of the petition with a direction to decide the representation dated 17.5.2022 annexed with the petition as Annexure P-5, within a period of one month.

Counsel further submits that in the garb of the order passed by this court, the respondents have sought a direction for re-investigating the

case instead of taking steps for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. Counsel submits that the investigating agency has investigated the case thrice and inquiry reports are also appended. He submits that now the respondent-State is re-inquiring the case for the fourth time.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Having heard counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is clarified that the order passed by this court dated 8.7.2022 pertains to granting protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members, however, if the petitioner has sought other remedies also in the representation dated 17.5.2022, the respondent-authority is at liberty to take into consideration whether the same is relevant to the prayer made for granting the protection to life and liberty and proceed accordingly.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

01.12.2022

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No