

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32232-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.07.2022

Saroj Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Amit Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-26008-2022

This is an application filed for grant of leave under Rule 3/A(1) of Chapter VI, Part B, Volume V of Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders to file the present petition.

In view of averments made in the application, the same is allowed and leave is granted under the aforesaid Rules and Orders to file the present petition.

Main case

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for directing the official respondents to take immediate and appropriate action on the complaint of the petitioner and to register an FIR against the private

respondent.

Faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020**, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

26.07.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No