

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

223-37

CWP-17373-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

DAVINDER SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate for respondents No.7 and 8.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of the India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 6 to take appropriate legal action against the respondents No.7 and 8 who are trying to forcibly take possession of the vehicle bearing Registration No.PB-10GW-5565 with the help of their recovery agents.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.7 and 8 contends that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties and the petitioner has voluntarily surrendered the vehicle to the respondents No.7 and 8.

In view of the above statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents No.7 and 8, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage. He, however, seeks liberty to get the petition revived in case the above statement of learned counsel for the respondents No.7 and 8 is found to be incorrect.

Disposed of as not pressed, with the liberty as aforesaid.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

27.09.2022
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No