

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CWP No.13167 of 2016
Date of Decision : 17.10.2022

Prithvi Jasraj Kaushik

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the order of denial of the benefit of the salary for the period when the petitioner remained out of service has been passed by the Director, Elementary Education and the petitioner intends to approach the higher Authorities to raise the grievance that keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, as the petitioner remained out of job because of the respondents, the petitioner is entitled for the grant of salary for the period he remained out of job, hence the present petition may be disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach the higher Authorities.

Ordered accordingly.

October 17, 2022
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No