

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.120

CRWP No.7105 of 2022

Date of Decision: 26th July, 2022.

Inderpreet Singh & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition, both the petitioners have approached this Court for seeking the relief of the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 because they (petitioners) are living in relationship. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-3) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case, in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from SI/SHO Banwari Lal from the Police Station City Sirsa, he apprises the Court that a criminal case has already been got registered by the father of petitioner No.2 against petitioner No.1- Inderpreet Singh at the above-said Police Station, vide the FIR bearing No.0539 dated 12.07.2022 under Sections 363, 365 and 366-A IPC.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of petitioner No.2, i.e Annexure P-3.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the relationship between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, is hereby directed to look into the said representation of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-3) only to the extent of the threat perception of the petitioners qua their lives and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve the protection of their lives, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that this order shall not amount to be a hindrance to/in the investigation of the afore-mentioned criminal case arising out of the said FIR and shall also not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any other action/proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated against them by any competent authority/person on account of their said relationship and permissible under the relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

26.07.2022.
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No