

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**TA No.726 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2022**

Anu Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Karanjit Salwan

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition filed under Section 11, 12 read with Section 5 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending in the Family Court, Amritsar to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Kapurthala.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that on account of a matrimonial discord, the respondent/husband has filed the petition under Section 11, 12 read with Section 5 of the Hindu Marriage Act, as a counter-blast, before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Amritsar.

Counsel for the petitioner has also argued that on account of a petition filed by the respondent/husband, the petitioner is facing great difficulty in prosecuting the said case as there is a distance of about 75 Kms from Kapurthala to Amritsar.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgments ***“Sumita Singh vs Kumar Sanjay”***, 2002 SC 396 and ***“Rajani Kishor Pardeshi vs Kishor Babulal Pardeshi”***, 2005(12) SCC 237, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has observed that *while deciding the*

transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue hardships.”

It is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider the family condition of the wife, the custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and most important the convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, considering the fact that issuance of notice to the respondent has the consequences of staying further proceedings before the trial Court, otherwise the petitioner/wife will have to bear the litigation expenses and transportation expenses and in case, notice of motion is issued, even the respondent/husband has to bear the litigation expenses and in view of the judgments i.e. ***Sumita Singh's case (supra)*** and ***Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's case (supra)*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court deem it appropriate to allow the present petition, subject to the following conditions:-

1. *The petition filed under Section 11, 12 read with Section 5 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending before the Family Court, Amritsar will be transferred to the competent Court*

- of jurisdiction at Kapurthala.*
- 2. The District Judge, Kapurthala, will assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction.*
 - 3. The Family Court, Amritsar is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case to District Judge, Kapurthala.*
 - 4. The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court, Kapurthala, within a period of 01 month from today.*

However, liberty is granted to the respondent to revive this petition, if he intent to contest the same, provided that:-

- (a) The respondent will clear all arrears of maintenance amount, if any, in terms of a petition filed by the petitioner either under Section 125 Cr.P.C. or Section 12 of the Domestic Violence Act or Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.*
- (b) The respondent will file an affidavit giving undertaking to pay Rs.1,000/- per day, to the petitioner for attending the Court proceedings at Amritsar, on each and every date of hearing.*
- (c) The respondent will bring a demand draft of Rs.25,000/- towards the litigation expenses of the petitioner to pursue the case at Amritsar in case the respondent opt to contest this petition.*

Disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

22.07.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No